

Scotiabank Pension Scheme (United Kingdom and Channel Islands) - Annual Engagement Policy Implementation Statement to 31 October 2020

Introduction

This statement sets out how, and the extent to which, the Engagement Policy in the Scheme's Statement of Investment Principles ('SIP') has been followed during the year to 31 October 2020. This statement has been produced in accordance with **The Pension Protection Fund (Pensionable Service) and Occupational Pension Schemes (Investment and Disclosure) (Amendment and Modification) Regulations 2018** and the subsequent amendment in **The Occupational Pension Schemes (Investment and Disclosure) (Amendment) Regulations 2019**.

Investment Objectives of the Scheme

The Trustee believes it is important to consider the policies in place in the context of the investment objectives they have set. The objectives of the Scheme included in the SIP are as follows:

The Trustee's primary objective is to meet member benefit payments as they fall due.

In meeting this objective, the Trustee's further objectives are to:

- By means of an agreed combination of investment return and contributions from the Bank (the sponsoring employer), move the Scheme to a position of being fully funded on a de-risked funding basis (gilts + 0.5% p.a.) by October 2025.
- In doing so, to opportunistically reduce the degree of risk in the Scheme's investment arrangements, thereby helping to protect the Scheme's improving funding position.
- To take on investment risk in a controlled way. The Trustee believes that, over the long term, excess return will be generated through investing in equities, other return enhancing asset classes such as corporate bonds and through the use of active management where appropriate. The Trustee recognises that in adopting this approach, the Scheme is exposed to equity market and other risks and this may mean that, in the short term, the return target may either not be achieved or becomes a very easy target (i.e. the short term funding position will be volatile).

Policy on ESG, Stewardship and Climate Change

The Scheme's SIP includes the Trustee's policy on Environmental, Social and Governance ('ESG') factors, stewardship and Climate Change. This policy sets out the Trustee's beliefs on ESG and climate change and the processes followed by the Trustee in relation to voting rights and stewardship. The SIP was last reviewed in September 2020.

In order to establish these beliefs and produce this policy, the Trustee undertook investment training provided by Mercer Limited (Mercer) on responsible investment which covered ESG factors, stewardship, climate change and the approach undertaken by Mercer Global Investments Europe Limited (MGIE) in its capacity as investment manager to the Ireland-domiciled collective investment schemes in which Scheme assets are invested. This training was provided on 14 May 2019. The results of the beliefs survey that the Trustee had undertaken were presented during the training, with the policy subsequently being incorporated into the SIP. The Trustee keeps these policies under regular review, with the SIP subject to review at least triennially.

The following work was undertaken during the year relating to the Trustee's policy on ESG factors, stewardship and climate change, and sets out how the Trustee's engagement and voting policies were followed and implemented during the year.

- The Trustee has appointed Mercer to act as discretionary investment manager in respect of the Scheme's assets and such assets are invested in a range of Mercer Funds managed by MGIE.
- Investment managers appointed by MGIE to manage the Mercer Funds are expected to evaluate ESG factors, including climate change considerations, and exercise voting rights and stewardship obligations, in accordance with their own corporate governance policies and current best practice, including the UK Corporate Governance Code and UK Stewardship Code, regardless of where they are domiciled. In addition, they are expected to monitor the companies in which they invest and to report on stewardship activities and outcomes on an annual basis, as set out in a publicly available Sustainable Investment Policy.
- The Trustee considers how ESG, climate change and stewardship is integrated within Mercer's and MGIE's investment processes and those of the underlying investment managers in the monitoring process. Mercer and MGIE are expected to provide reporting to the Trustee on a regular basis, at least annually, on ESG integration progress, stewardship monitoring results, and climate-related metrics.
- The Trustee considers how ESG, including climate change, is integrated within Mercer's and MGIE's investment processes by reviewing the ESG ratings assigned by Mercer (and its affiliates') global manager research team, which are included in the investment performance report produced by Mercer on a quarterly basis. ESG Ratings are reviewed by MGIE during quarterly monitoring processes, with a more comprehensive review performed annually — which seeks evidence of positive momentum on ESG integration. Expectations are set as ESG3 or above, where practicable and relevant to the strategy (with ESG1 being the highest rating and ESG4 being the lowest). Comparisons are also made with the appropriate universe of strategies in Mercer's global investment manager database.
- Climate-related metrics such as carbon foot- printing for equities and/or climate scenario analysis for diversified portfolios are also reviewed at least annually by Mercer and MGIE.

Stewardship monitoring

The Mercer Limited Stewardship Monitoring Report summarises and comments on the stewardship activities and disclosure of the investment managers appointed within the largest MGIE equity funds within the Model Growth Portfolio, for the period 1 July 2018 to 30 June 2019.

The Stewardship Monitoring Report provides voting statistics , together with brief commentary, based on manager disclosed information and covers votes cast in four parts: a) votes against management; b) votes against proxy adviser policy (where applicable); c) abstentions; and d) no votes. The report also provides summary reporting on engagement activities undertaken by managers to capture the level of disclosure and examples given by the managers for insights into where the manager has exchanged views with companies on a range of strategic and governance issues, together with environmental and social topics.

For the 2020 reporting cycle, vote reporting will include a general description of voting behaviour, an explanation of the most significant votes taken, information on the use, if any, of the services of proxy advisors, and information on how votes have been cast in the general meetings of companies in which

the investment managers appointed to the Mercer Funds hold shares across equity portfolios. Engagement reporting will include examples where investment managers have engaged with companies, relating to the number of companies engaged, engagement examples by topic, engagement examples that are collaborative and any voting activity / engagement activities impacting investment decisions, where available. These engagement reviews will extend across equities as well as other asset classes (e.g. fixed income and real estate) in light of the 2020 UK Stewardship Code which calls for engagement across additional asset classes as well as equities.

2019 Summary

The overall results for this reporting period are summarised below.

Vote execution:

- Vote execution continues to be good overall (i.e. >90% of available votes). Where votes have not been cast the vast majority of managers provide a rationale (typically this relates to market-specific barriers or restrictions).

Vote disclosure:

- The level of disclosure continues to vary considerably across managers. While in some cases managers have improved in not only disclosing voting rationale at a resolution level, but including summary reporting across categories (e.g. board-related, compensation-related, environmental etc.) there are managers who have not disclosed rationale across all resolutions. It is likely that managers do have this information but have not proactively disclosed it and we will follow-up with these managers to confirm.

Engagement:

- The results on engagement activities has been relatively consistent and Mercer noted an improvement in the quality of engagement disclosure from a number of managers.
- Some managers continue to provide market leading engagement reporting – typically those with an established approach to engagement and internal resources dedicated to stewardship.
- Mercer found that there is still room for improvement on engagement from quant managers who could focus on calling for greater disclosure of ESG metrics and this will again be communicated. Mercer also noted an improvement from a number of quant managers in this regard since the previous review.
- Mercer will follow up with all managers where improvements are expected in future.